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From Amsterdam to Paris

- Regional overview report
- THE PEP relay race
- THE PEP Partnerships
The questionnaire: format/output

- Sent to all THE PEP focal points to complete in collaboration (one reply per country)
- Standardized replies
- Electronic format, distribution and collection
- Semi-automated processing of replies (maps, graphs, tables)
- Same questionnaire once per year since 2011 up to 5HLM
The results

- 11 respondent countries (out of 44)
The results

- General info on the process of reporting
- Implementation of Paris Goals
  - Main developments in THE by all 5 goals
  - Main challenges
- THE PEP implementation mechanisms (excl. Academy)
- Policy, regulatory and operational frameworks that support THE PEP
- Future of THE PEP
  - Key recommendations
Challenges of THE PEP

- not legally binding
- entirely voluntary basis
- No direct financial support
- Too little pressure on Member States to establish coordinating bodies at national level
- Lack of indicators for monitoring and reporting
- Support to move from the international level to national and local implementation is not strong enough in THE PEP.
- Few synergies with the transport sector
Recommendations for THE PEP

- Strengthen the implementation mechanisms and existing tools of THE PEP, in particular NTHEAPs
- Support Member States in raising funds
- Stimulate more awareness by requesting (re)appointment of focal points and creation of national coordinating bodies
- Exchange of good practices, including translations
- Define indicators across the three sectors
- Increase visibility at international events
- Target activities more towards specific (groups of) countries
Challenges of the Questionnaire

- Harmonization of format of replies
  - More standardization of replies
- Aggregation/summary of information in replies
  - See above
- (joint) replies from all focal points
  - New requests to Member States for appointment of focal points
- Verification
  - Request and verify references for all replies
- Low response rate
  - More time?
Conclusions

- Current questionnaire provides a basis for regular monitoring of THE PEP implementation

- Replies reflect mainly the views and experiences of countries, which have been more actively engaged in THE PEP implementation

- Need to develop a better understanding of the experiences of non-respondent countries
  - (re)-establishing national focal points
  - investigating the challenges, limitations and obstacles