



# Economic and Social Council

Distr.: General  
27 January 2014

**FINAL DRAFT**  
Original: English

**Economic Commission for Europe**

**World Health Organization  
Regional Office for Europe**

High-level Meeting on Transport, Health  
and Environment

**Steering Committee of the Transport, Health  
and Environment Pan-European Programme**

**Eleventh session**

Geneva, 27-28 November 2013

## **Report of the Steering Committee of the Transport, Health and Environment Pan-European Programme on its eleventh session and report of the twenty-first meeting of the Bureau of the Steering Committee**

### Contents

|   | <i>Paragraphs</i> | <i>Page</i> |
|---|-------------------|-------------|
| I. Introduction .....   | 1–2               | 3           |
| A. Attendance .....   | 3                 | 3           |
| B. Opening of the session and organizational matters .....  | 4–12              | 3           |
| II. Outcome of THE PEP 2013 Symposium .....   | 13–27             | 4           |
| A. How can cities improve liveability, attractiveness and health for all citizens<br>through transport choices? ..... | 19                | 5           |
| B. What policies are needed at national levels to empower cities to become more<br>walkable and cyclable? .....       | 20                | 6           |
| C. What is the right mix of promotion and infrastructure for walking, cycling<br>and public transport? .....          | 21                | 6           |
| D. What are the economic dimensions of increased walking and cycling? .....   | 18                | 6           |
| E. What can be learned from experiences of pioneers of active mobility policies? .....                                | 24–27             | 6           |
| III. Preparation of the Fourth High-level Meeting on Transport, Health and Environment .....                          | 28–36             | 7           |

---

|  | <i>Paragraphs</i> | <i>Page</i> |
|--|-------------------|-------------|
| IV. Implementing the Amsterdam Declaration.....                                  | 37-46             | 9           |
| A. National transport, health and environment action plans .....                 | 37                | 9           |
| B. THE PEP relay race (“staffette”) .....  | 38–42             | 9           |
| C. THE PEP Partnerships .....  | 43–46             | 10          |
| V. Managing THE PEP .....  | 47–55             | 10          |
| A. Monitoring implementation .....   | 47–48             | 10          |
| B. Communication strategy .....  | 49–50             | 11          |
| C. Programme of work for 2013–2014.....  | 51                | 11          |
| D. Financial matters.....  | 52                | 11          |
| E. Strengthening synergies with international organizations and processes.....   | 53–55             | 11          |
| VI. Next meeting of the Steering Committee .....                                 | 56                | 12          |
| Annex  |                   |             |
| Report of the twenty-first meeting of the Bureau of the Steering Committee ..... |                   | 13          |

## **I. Introduction**

1. At its eleventh session, held on 27 and 28 November 2013 in Geneva, Switzerland, the Steering Committee of the Transport, Health and Environment Pan-European Programme (THE PEP) focused its work on the preparation of the Fourth High-level Meeting on Transport Health and Environment, to be held on 14 to 16 April 2014 in Paris and negotiations on the forthcoming Paris Declaration. It explored THE PEP implementation mechanisms, considered the report of a sub-regional Workshop on “Green and Health-friendly Mobility: Focus on Urban Central Asia” (26-27 September 2013, Almaty); assessed progress made in Moscow following the THE PEP “staffete” workshop of 2012 and considered the offers of Lithuania and Azerbaijan to host future workshops in 2014 and 2015, respectively.

2. THE PEP 2013 Symposium on “Active Mobility for All: Safe and Healthy Walking and Cycling in Cities” was held during the eleventh session of the Steering Committee. The Symposium addressed Priority Goal 4 of the Amsterdam Declaration (ECE/AC.21/4), “to promote policies and actions conducive to healthy and safe modes of transport”. The outcomes of the Symposium are in section II below.

### **A. Attendance**

3. The session was attended by representatives from the following countries: Austria, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, France, Georgia, Germany, Italy, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Lithuania, Netherlands, Norway, Republic of Moldova, Russian Federation, Serbia, Switzerland, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Tajikistan, Ukraine, United Kingdom, United States of America and Uzbekistan. Representatives of the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Agenzia Mobilità Ambiente e Territorio s.r.l, Barcelona Municipal Services, Eurohealthnet, the European Bicycle’s Manufacturing Association, the European Cyclists’ Federation, the International Union of Public Transport (UITP), John Thompson and Partners, London European Partnership for Transport, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Raleigh International Ltd., University Hospitals of Geneva (HUG), Urban Mobility Research also attended.

### **B. Opening of the session and organizational matters**

4. Participants were welcomed by the Senior Policy and Programme Advisor Environment and Health Policy and Governance, World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe (WHO/Europe); the Directors of the Transport and Environment Divisions of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE).

5. The Steering Committee adopted the agenda as prepared by THE PEP secretariat in consultation with the Bureau of the Steering Committee (ECE/AC.21/SC/2013/1–EUDCE1206040/1.9/SC11/1).

6. The Steering Committee elected Mr. Mihail Kochubovski, Head of Sector of Environmental Health, Institute of Public Health, Ministry of Health (The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia) as chair and thanked the outgoing chair, Mr. Robert Thaler, Federal Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, Environment and Water Management (Austria) for his effective work.

7. It adopted a proposal (informal document no. 10) amending the Rules of Procedure of the Steering Committee, as follows (amendments in bold):

The Steering Committee shall elect from among representatives a Chairperson as well as two Vice-Chairpersons **who shall, in principle, be the incoming and the outgoing Chairpersons**. The chair will rotate **annually** between representatives of the transport, environment and health sectors, each sector taking one of the three posts. The chair shall be maintained between the sessions and shall represent the Steering Committee and the Bureau.”

8. The Committee elected as the incoming chair for its twelfth session, Mr. Matthias Rinderknecht, Advisor, Federal Office of Transport (Switzerland). In accordance with the above-mentioned amendment, effective immediately, the two co-chairs from Austria and Switzerland serviced the session.

9. The Committee confirmed the present composition of the Bureau:

**Transport sector:**

Ms. Nadine Asconchilo (France)

Mr. Vadim Donchenko (Russian Federation)

Mr. Matthias Rinderknecht (Switzerland).

**Health sector:**

Mr. François André (Belgium)

Mr. Michail Kochubovski (the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia)

Mr. Ion Shalaru (Republic of Moldova)

**Environment sector:**

Mr. Bart Cobbs (United States)

Ms. Biljana Filipovic (Serbia)

Mr. Robert Thaler (Austria)

Ms. Nino Tkhilava (Georgia)

10. The Chair invited Member States to nominate additional Bureau members, in particular from the health and transport sectors.

11. The Steering Committee adopted the report of its tenth session, held in Geneva on 14 and 15 November 2012, and the report of the eighteenth meeting of the Bureau, which took place in Geneva on 16 November 2011 (ECE/AC.21/SC/2012/9-EUDCE1206040/1.9/SC10/9), as well as the reports of the nineteenth and twentieth meetings of the Bureau (Paris, 4 to 5 April 2013 and Copenhagen, 11-12 July 2013; informal documents Nos. 1 and 2, respectively).

12. The Bureau held its twenty-first meeting on 29 November 2013 (annex). The Chair reiterated that meetings of the Bureau through April 2014 would be open to all members of the Steering Committee (i.e., the “extended Bureau”), in order to ensure the adequate and timely preparation of the Fourth High-level Meeting in 2014.

## II. Outcome of THE PEP 2013 Symposium

13. As agreed at its seventh session, the Steering Committee held a half-day symposium intended to stimulate debate on relevant issues involving the three

sectors of THE PEP, transport, health and environment. THE PEP 2013 Symposium, “Active Mobility for All: Safe and Healthy Walking and Cycling in Cities”, consisted of two keynote speeches and two moderated panel discussions. The Symposium sought to raise awareness and share experiences, in particular concerning Priority Goal 4 of the Amsterdam Declaration.<sup>1</sup>

14. A concept note prepared by the secretariat in cooperation with the Bureau outlined the main issues to be addressed during the 2013 Symposium (ECE/AC.21/SC/2013/2–EUDCE1206040/1.9/SC11/2).

15. The Symposium highlighted the policy response in several member states and their cities to encourage safe and healthy active or human-powered mobility such as walking and cycling and its integration with public transport networks for a “seamless” journey. It validated the Bureau’s interest in proposed new Goal 5 integrating urban spatial planning with transport, health and environment objectives.

16. Key note speaker Dr. Harry Rutter from the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine highlighted the evidence and the potential for making transport healthier and more accessible for urban populations through walking and cycling. The second key note speaker, Mr Fred London from John Thompson & Partners, shared his experience of approaches to implement integrated land use planning with examples from across the region.

17. Two round table discussions explored the issue of translating international and national policies on sustainable urban transport into local action, with a focus on the vertical integration needed to create a national policy framework that enables cities to take action and enabling factors for integrating walking, cycling and public transport into local transport. The panellists included the two key note speakers, Ms Rita Sketerskiene (Ministry of Health of Lithuania), Mr Gerald Franz (Cycling coordinator of Lower Austria), Ms Lucy Saunders (Greater London Authority and Transport for London), Mr Hugo Zbinden (European Cyclists Federation), Mr Moreno Fioravanti (European Bicycle Manufacturers Association), Mr. Jerome Pourbaix (International Association of Public Transport, UITP), Mr Redha Zetchi (Barcelona Municipal Services), Ms. Maria Berrini (Milan Mobility Agency), Mr. Daniel Sauter (Walk21) and Ms. Mouna Asal (Geneva Hospital Mobility Management).

18. In particular, the Symposium addressed several specific questions.<sup>2</sup>

**A. How can cities improve liveability, attractiveness and health for all citizens through transport choices?**

19. The panellists outlined their experience in creating integrated and comprehensive urban plans for sustainable transport to improve the liveability of cities and the health of its citizens by making the healthy choice the easy choice in terms of transport. At the urban level, a combined top-down and bottom-up approach was deemed most promising in developing successful

<sup>1</sup> Priority Goal 4 of the Amsterdam Declaration adopted in January 2009 is: “To promote policies and actions conducive to healthy and safe modes of transport by designing and modernizing urban areas and human settlements to improve the conditions for safe and physically active mobility, including infrastructure for walking and cycling, and efficient and accessible public transport, particularly focused on vulnerable groups such as children and persons with reduced mobility”.

<sup>2</sup> A programme for the Symposium listing all the speakers and their presentations is available at <http://www.unece.org/index.php?id=30840>.

interventions, involving multiple stakeholders from the beginning in the design and implementation processes.

**B. What policies are needed at the national level to empower cities to become more walkable and cyclable?**

20. Realising that the most relevant action on sustainable urban transport takes place at the local level, the panellists outlined the importance of a national framework that enables such local action. This includes the assignment of necessary authority to municipalities, target setting and accountability (including reporting and indicators), funding and technical capacities and support for multi-sectoral frameworks, such as spatial planning, transport, commerce, transport, education, environment, health etc.

**C. What is the right mix of promotion and infrastructure for walking, cycling and public transport?**

21. Symposium participants agreed that in order to increase the levels of public transport and of walking and cycling in urban environments, these modes of transport needed to be better integrated and presented as convenient choices to the consumer. Vicious circles exist as environments that are unsafe for walking and cycling lead to unfrequented neighbourhoods with reduced public life. These can be changed to virtuous circles by improving the public realm, decoupling car ownership and car use, reducing excess short car journeys, increasing the capacity of public transport and making the urban environment safe and adequate for walking and cycling as a healthy and viable mode of urban transport. Temporary measures, such as closing specific streets to motorized traffic, can be used as a trial to engage citizens.

**D. What are the economic dimensions of increased walking and cycling?**

22. Transport plays an important role in people's lives by providing access to employment, education, and leisure and it also plays an important economic role. Walking and cycling have several economic dimensions that are not yet fully recognized. There are multiple economic performance benefits, such as a reduction in costs associated with the prevention of cardio-vascular diseases, mental wellbeing and cancers.

23. Promising studies are starting to emerge on the contribution that active mobility promotion can make to the development of the green economy and the creation of new jobs. For example, a study jointly undertaken by the Austrian Ministry of Environment and the Chamber of Commerce on the "Cycling sector as an Economic factor in Austria", estimated that the cycling sector adds to the Austrian economy close to 900 million EUR per year, and supports more than 18,000 green jobs. The effects on the economy are not limited to the production and maintenance sectors for public transport and active mobility but can also positively impact tourism, culture, insurance business etc.

**E. What can be learned from experiences of pioneers of active mobility policies?**

24. There is a strong need and potential for making transport healthier through the promotion of healthy and safe modes of transport. Non-motorized

(or active) transport like walking and cycling, combined with adequate road safety, appropriate signage, infrastructure, awareness-raising and efficient public transport, can go a long way toward addressing issues of congestion, air pollution, noise, road traffic accidents and lack of physical activity. Given the average travel patterns in European cities, non-motorized transport could offer a feasible and convenient alternative to a significant share of motorized trips.

25. Additionally, walking and cycling are easily available to almost everybody, adding to more equitable access to sustainable and healthy mobility. Making our cities safe for walking and cycling will improve health and at the same time tackle inequalities in the population regarding mobility. Importantly, active mobility can play an important role as part of the package of measures that can be implemented to mitigate climate change and reduce dependency on fossil fuels at the subnational level. Indeed, studies considering different climate mitigation policy scenarios in cities as diverse as London and New Delhi, have estimated that owing to the important health co-benefits brought about by physical activity, the promotion of active mobility along with public transport would offer the most cost-effective policy option.

26. The panellists shared lessons learned in promoting more public and active transport at local, regional and national level. They recommended focusing on: providing appropriate multi-sectoral evidence, highlighting the benefits of sustainable transport policies for relevant sectors; making good use of existing tools to estimate the benefits, such as the Health economic assessment Tools (HEAT) for walking and cycling; including evaluation in the policy-practice cycle; keeping policies flexible enough to adapt to local circumstances and needs and underlining the importance of effective leadership.

27. Such initiatives should include action at all levels as part of an integrated framework, from international (such as THE PEP) to national (such as a national cycling master plan) to regional, municipal and local. The participants also considered the notion of a Pan-European cycling policy and whether this would help further national implementation. As such, the outcome document of the upcoming Fourth High-level Meeting on Transport, Health and Environment in April 2014 in Paris could stress this further, with links to the Europe 2020 smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in the European Union, as well as the ongoing process of the United Nations for the post 2015 Development Agenda. Member States were encouraged to provide appropriate channels in these processes to bring the issue of sustainable transport onto relevant international and national agendas.

### **III. Preparation of the Fourth High-level Meeting on Transport, Health and Environment**

28. The Steering Committee discussed the preparation of the Fourth High-level Meeting on Transport, Environment and Health, to be held from 14 to 16 April 2014 in Paris. Representatives of the Government of France from the Ministry of Ecology, Sustainable Development and Energy and the Ministry of Health presented an update of plans for the three-day event.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup> An advanced copy of the Provisional Agenda and a Draft Programme of the 4<sup>th</sup> High Level meeting will be presented to the twenty-second meeting of the Extended Bureau in Zurich on 30 to 31 January 2014. The Programme is also in the Report of the 21<sup>nd</sup> meeting of THE PEP Extended Bureau held on 29 November 2013 in Geneva (Annex).

29. It was agreed that the first day of the meeting, organized in the framework of the Transport Research Arena (TRA), would be the technical segment and the second day the political segment. The third day would be the Bureau segment to agree a new workplan from 2014-2020. TRA 2014 would be organized by the Government of France and expected around 2000 people with many associated events on topics ranging from women's issues in transport to airports in urban areas and a seminar on distracted driving and road safety. The TRA would be open to participants of the High-level Meeting on the first day of the Meeting.

30. The International Transport Forum's annual summit preparatory meeting would be held concurrently under the slogan "Transport in a Changing World". A joint strategic seminar would be held on Monday evening, organized by THE PEP, TRA and ITF on the topic of "Urban Innovation and Change: The Dynamic Nexus of Transport, Health and Environment". The Seminar intended to offer international views from transport research, health research and environment and spatial planning. The format would be: one theme, one moderator, 1 keynote speaker and 4 expert panellists, including possibly representatives of urban and spatial planning agencies or private enterprises such as an architectural firm, health-oriented stakeholders in transport policy, mayor of a large French city and the Ministry of Environment of Georgia.

31. An invitation letter to the high-level meeting would be sent out shortly by France, followed by a letter from the secretariat to all three ministries, asking for nominations to the meeting so that members of THE PEP Steering Committee could follow-up with their ministries. A third letter with registration and logistical information would follow.

32. The Committee was asked to ensure high level participation in the Meeting in order to have the necessary buy-in to the Paris Declaration at the highest levels and to give sufficient political clout to THE PEP process in the years to come.

33. Several delegations noted the importance of involving the Multilateral Environmental Agreements (MEAs) such as the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). The UNECE Committee on Housing could also be involved, possibly presenting Spatial Planning Guidelines, in connection with proposed new Goal 5.

34. On the evening of Wednesday, 16 April 2014, THE PEP was invited to present tools and methods, such as HEAT and Green and Healthy Jobs in Transport during an "invited session" of the TRA.

35. Regarding the draft Paris Declaration, the following comments were taken into consideration in re-drafting a text to be submitted to the twenty-second meeting of the extended Bureau of THE PEP on 30-31 January 2014 in Zürich:

(a) The Draft Declaration should be more concise, e.g. two pages with real objectives that can be achieved;

(b) Proposed new goal no. 5 is very similar to Amsterdam goal no. 2 and the former should be re-drafted to avoid duplication;

(c) The Declaration should reflect common problems such as climate change mitigation, black carbon, clean fuels and vehicles, non-communicable diseases (NCDs), obesity and the lack of physical activity, with an emphasis on "active mobility" over "human-powered mobility";



(d) The Declaration should refer consistently to either transport or mobility all the way through and instead of “interoperability” to “interconnection”; Eco-driving and eco-mobility should not be considered on the same footing, as electric mobility is a more far-reaching solution.

(e) The paragraph on consideration of a Framework Convention should be retained, but with no commitment or obligation by Governments;

36. A three-fold approach was agreed, starting with a brief political declaration, followed by a separate document on institutional mechanisms and a workplan covering the period 2014-2020. A revised draft of the Declaration, incorporating all modifications agreed by the Committee, would be sent to THE PEP Focal Points in advance of the 22<sup>nd</sup> meeting of THE PEP extended Bureau in the end of January 2014.

## **IV. Implementing the Amsterdam Declaration**

### **A. National transport, health and environment action plans**

37. The secretariat presented a final version of a manual for developing national transport, health and environment action plans (NTHEAPs Manual)(Informal document no. 4), noting that this was one of the main implementation mechanisms of the Amsterdam Declaration and provided a way to operationalize sustainable transport. The manual helped countries to set out their main goals, objectives, actions and coordination mechanisms in the realm of sustainable transport. The Steering Committee would give final approval on the case studies and finalize the manual in advance of the Bureau meeting in Zürich. It will thereafter be published in three languages and presented to the High-level meeting. The Committee thanked the secretariat for the useful manual and welcomed it as one of the main background documents for the High-level Meeting.

### **B. THE PEP relay race (“staffette”)**

38. The representative of Kazakhstan presented the results of THE PEP Workshop Green and Health-friendly Sustainable Mobility: Focus on Urban Central Asia, held on 26 and 27 September 2013 in Almaty (Kazakhstan). More than 100 participants were present, agreeing Action Points for Policymakers along seven different lines. The Ministry of Transport of Kazakhstan held a debriefing following the Workshop in order to disseminate lessons learned from the Workshop among the three sectors and across the country and to consider how these could be incorporated into national legislation and regulations, e.g. on the reduction in transport-related air emissions and on developing sustainable urban transport systems and noted the importance of engagement of the private sector.

39. The representative of the Russian Federation reported on progress made following the Moscow Workshop “Sustainable development of urban transport: challenges and opportunities” held on 7 and 8 June 2012 in Moscow (ECE/AC7SC/2012/4-EUDCE1206040/1.9/SC10/4). He noted that there had been increase in capacity of the Moscow metro and improvement in parking areas.

40. The representative of Lithuania (Ministry of Health) reiterated her country’s interest in hosting the next THE PEP Staffete workshop in autumn 2014 and would contact the secretariat to initiate the organizational aspects.

41. The representative of Azerbaijan reiterated his country's interest in possibly hosting a subsequent workshop in autumn 2015 in Baku.

42. The Committee expressed its gratitude to the hosts of the Almaty workshop and underlined the value of the forthcoming background document to the 4HLM: "From Amsterdam to Paris and Beyond: THE PEP 2009-2020," to be published by France, in cooperation with the hosts of the six workshops. The document will include a summary of results of the workshops held since the Amsterdam Declaration launched THE PEP Staffete in 2009; information on THE PEP Partnerships and on THE PEP implementation at national level, based on results of THE PEP questionnaire.

### **C. THE PEP Partnership**

43. The secretariat presented progress made on the publication "Green and Healthy Jobs in Transport", (Informal document no. 6) intended as an "appetiser" for Member States to join in on the Green and Healthy Jobs in Transport (GHJT) Partnership in its second phase. This would include the development of a standard methodology for quantifying active mobility and public transport which would allow Governments to derive national estimates of JGHT in their country. Thus far, five case studies have been submitted by THE PEP Member States. The Steering Committee entrusted the Bureau and the secretariat with the finalization of the publication for the 4HLM.

44. The representative of Austria presented a proposal for a new partnership on the promotion of cycling, to institutionalize a forum on national cycling officers and to promote cycling at the national level. The objectives of this would be to further develop the European Cycling Network, collect existing knowledge on new policies and approaches; share good practices and disseminate experience; monitor and evaluate the existing situation and the potential and assess the qualitative and quantitative impact.

45. A representative of France presented the draft publication of "Signs and Signals for Active Mobility" (Informal document No. 7) based on a questionnaire, launched by Le Centre d'études sur les réseaux, les transports, l'urbanisme et les constructions publiques (CERTU), a scientific and research agency based in Lyon, France. The secretariat informed that once the Steering Committee and thereafter the 4HLM endorsed the document, it would be submitted to the UNECE's Working Party 1 (WP.1) as possible input on the development of an international standard for signs and signals under the Vienna Convention on Signs and Signals, as thus far signs and signals for active mobility are not harmonized at the international level.

46. The Steering Committee endorsed the idea of a new partnership on cycling promotion at the national level. It endorsed the publications on Green and Healthy Jobs in Transport and Signs and Signals for Active Mobility and entrusted the secretariat with their finalization for presentation to the 4HLM.

## **V. Managing THE PEP**

### **A. Monitoring implementation**

47. The secretariat and a consultant from WHO presented the results of the 2013 questionnaire on the implementation of the Amsterdam Goals, circulated to THE PEP Focal Points in August 2013, as agreed by the Steering Committee at its tenth session. In accordance with the recommendation of the Bureau at its

20<sup>th</sup> meeting in Copenhagen, information garnered from the circulation of THE PEP questionnaire over the last several years was combined with information on THE PEP Partnerships and THE PEP Staffete workshops into a single background publication for the 4HLM. “From Amsterdam to Paris and Beyond: THE PEP Policy Review and THE PEP Future” (Informal document no. 8).

48. The Steering Committee thanked the secretariat for the draft publication and entrusted the secretariat with its finalization.

#### **B. Communication strategy**

49. The secretariat informed the Steering Committee of proposed measures to ensure the continued operation of THE PEP Clearing House and reiterated that Member States may wish to review the way forward for the Cleaning House, including resource requirements.

50. The 4HLM would provide an opportune moment to raise the visibility of THE PEP, through *inter alia*, a press conference before the signing of the Paris Declaration, exhibits and poster sessions during the TRA and THE PEP “invited event” on day three of the 4HLM to highlight achievements and tools; UNECE Weekly and the UNECE and THE PEP websites and other actions to publicize THE PEP’s unique integrated policy approach and upcoming activities, e.g. staffete workshops.

#### **C. Programme of work for 2013–2014**

51. The secretariat presented a general programme of work for THE PEP showing activities carried out in 2013 and planned work for 2014 (ECE/AC.21/SC/2013/6–EUDCE1206040/1.9/SC10/6). A more in-depth work plan for the period 2014-2020 would be agreed by the Extended Bureau at its meeting just following the 4HLM on the basis of a draft by the secretariat.

#### **D. Financial matters**

52. The secretariat informed the Steering Committee about the amount and use of extra-budgetary funds that had been made available to ECE and WHO in 2012 and 2013 (ECE/AC.21/SC/2013/5–EUDCE1206040/1.9/SC11/5, English only). The Steering Committee welcomed the intentions of Austria, France, Norway and Switzerland, and hopefully others, to continue financing THE PEP activities.

#### **E. Strengthening synergies with international organizations and processes**

53. The Steering Committee was informed about collaboration between THE PEP and related international processes with a view to strengthening synergies. Austria informed of two upcoming events: an International Conference on Mobility Management and the launch of THE PEP Partnership on Eco-driving in Vienna on 24 to 26 February 2014 at the Austrian Chamber of Commerce, concurrent with the 23<sup>rd</sup> meeting of THE PEP extended Bureau on 25 February 2014.

54. The Committee took note of the information presented by the secretariat of the UNECE Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP) concerning air pollution challenges across the region and linkages with THE PEP. The Committee welcomed the opportunity to enhance its

cooperation with the Convention, particularly in the framework of the Convention's Working Group on Strategies and Review on the exchange of information and good practices for air pollution abatement, including from mobile sources such as road transport.

55. Mr. Carlos Dora (WHO) presented health indicators linked to ongoing discussions on the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the need to develop an evidence base, e.g. injuries by vulnerable groups, proportion of urban travel done by healthy transport such as public transport and active mobility; the proportion of the urban population exposed to air pollution emissions above WHO air quality guidelines; mortality attributable to urban air pollution; reduction in traffic injury deaths among cyclists and pedestrians per 1000 kms of non-motorized travel and the proportion of daily physical activity obtained through active mobility. The Committee welcomed this work and encouraged Member States to make use of these in ongoing discussions on health-related SDGs.

## **VI. Next meeting of the Steering Committee**

56. The Steering Committee agreed that its twelfth session would be held on 19 and 20 November 2014 at the World Health Organization Headquarters in Geneva, beginning with THE PEP 2014 Symposium on 19 November 2014, starting in the afternoon and focused on one of the new Paris Goals, followed by a meeting of the Bureau on 21 November 2014.

## **Report of the twenty-first meeting of the Bureau of the Steering Committee**

### **I. Participation**

1. The twenty-first meeting of THE PEP (extended) Bureau was held on 29 November 2013 at the Palais des Nations in Geneva. It was chaired by the THE PEP Steering Committee Chair, Mr. Mihail Kochubovski (The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia) and co-chaired by Mr. Robert Thaler (Austria) and Mr. Matthias Rinderknecht (Switzerland). All of the participants to the Steering Committee of THE PEP cited in paragraph 3 above attended the Bureau meeting.

2. Also present were representatives of the ECE secretariat from the Environment and Transport Divisions, as well as WHO/Europe.

### **II. THE PEP Symposium**

3. The Bureau considered the conclusions of THE PEP 2013 Symposium, noting that it had been a dynamic and inspiring event and had given a strong impetus to the preparatory work for the Fourth High level Meeting. It asked the secretariat to consider adjusting the format for the organization of THE PEP 2014 Symposium so that there would be less panellists and more time for substantive discussion. As in the past, it asked the secretariat to prepare a concept note for the next Symposium, based on the reaffirmed or new goals adopted in the forthcoming Paris Declaration.

### **III. Preparation of the Fourth High-level Meeting on Transport, Environment and Health**

4. The Bureau continued the discussion on the draft Paris Declaration on the basis of a streamlined version presented by the secretariat. Member States expressed a need to have a good balance between mobility management and mobility demand, to not overlook the importance of noise emissions and stressed the importance of attracting resources, including in-kind contributions to support THE PEP 2020. A further revised version of the Draft Declaration would be submitted to THE PEP extended Bureau in advance of its 22 meeting in Zurich. In addition, a draft workplan for 2014-2020 would be submitted to the 4HLM as a road map for activities and projects to be carried out in the coming six years to implement the forthcoming Paris Goals.

#### **A. Proposed format and schedule**

5. The Bureau discussed the format and content for the three-day event and agreed the programme of events for the Fourth High-level Meeting (see separate document).

**B. Calendar of meetings**

6. The Bureau agreed on the remaining calendar of meetings for the preparation of the Fourth High-level Meeting and the implementation of the Paris Declaration:

**25 February 2014:** Twenty-third meeting of THEPEP extended Bureau, Vienna.

**14–16 April 2014:** Fourth High-level Meeting, Paris including twenty-fourth meeting of THE PEP extended Bureau, Paris.

**Summer 2014 (tbc):** Twenty-fifth meeting of THE PEP Bureau, WHO Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen.

**Autumn 2014:** Workshop to launch implementation of the Paris Declaration (Lithuania) (city and dates to be confirmed).

**19-20 November 2014:** Twelfth session of THE PEP Steering Committee, beginning with THE PEP 2014 Symposium on 19 November 2014, WHO Headquarters, Geneva.

**21 November 2014:** Twenty-sixth meeting of THE PEP Bureau, WHO Headquarters, Geneva.

**Summer 2015 (tbc):** Twenty-seventh meeting of THE PEP Bureau, WHO Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen.

**18-19 November 2015:** Thirteenth session of THE PEP Steering Committee, beginning with THE PEP 2015 Symposium on 18 November 2015.

**20 November 2015:** Twenty-eighth meeting of THE PEP Bureau, Palais des Nations, Geneva.