

Proposed revisions to the draft Questionnaire for reporting on the implementation of THE PEP 2017

I. Introduction

1. THE PEP Questionnaire is the main reporting mechanism of THE PEP for monitoring implementation at national level. It has been systematically used since 2011 and adjusted after the 4HLM in 2014 to accommodate the new elements from the Paris Declaration.

2. A review of the questionnaire used in 2011-2016 was conducted to make it more targeted and identify areas that could be revised to (a) improve the efforts required to complete and analyze the questionnaire (b) and bring it even closer in line with the five Goals of the Paris Declaration and the implementation mechanisms of THE PEP. Proposed revisions are based on recommendations and lessons learned from previous activities. Below is a summary of the identified areas and proposed revisions.

Member states are invited to consider and provide feedback to the revised questionnaire.

II. Proposed revisions

Section A: Information about the preparation of the replies to the questionnaire

- After the identification and the websites, the questions should be numbered
- The first question (please provide brief.....) should include at the end (please tick all that applies). This could be added in the instruction

Section B: Implementation of the Paris Goals

Priority Goal 1: to contribute to sustainable economic development and stimulate job creation through investment in environment- and health-friendly transport

- Indicator 1.1 has 5 open-ended questions which makes it difficult to analyse. This could be broken in 5 sub-questions/indicators 1.1.1, 1.1.2 etc.
- Indicators 2.1. Levels and target groups should account for multiple response possible. Depending on the country contexts, the same policy could probably be implemented at both national and sub-national level and have two or more target groups

Indicator 1.1

Please describe any policies or programmes in your country that support investment in the following:

- REMOVE: Development of transport infrastructure that promotes safety, environment and health and has a job creation potential, including rail and light rail
- RENAME: Clean and efficient intermodal connections (between rail and air, road and air and maritime connections) and public transport
- Safety measures in road transport [multiple choice]
 - o National road safety plans and establishment of road safety authorities, coordinating bodies, etc.
 - o National research
 - o Improvement of vehicle and infrastructure safety
 - o Rescue services, awareness raising, education, law
 - o Other
- Infrastructure for active and environmentally friendly transport [multiple choice]
 - o Improvement of pedestrian infrastructure
 - o Improvement of cyclists infrastructure, establishment of public and private bike rental systems
 - o Improvement of public transport
 - o Other
- Eco-tourism [multiple choice]
 - o Cycle-tourism infrastructure
 - o Hiking and walking paths
 - o Introducing (sightseeing) electric busses
 - o Train connections between tourist destinations
 - o Other, please specify: [free text]

Priority Goal 2: to manage sustainable mobility and promote a more efficient transport system

Indicator 2.1 REPLACE fields “level” and “target group” with multiple choice options

Priority Goal 4: to promote policies and actions conducive to healthy and safe modes of transport

Indicator 4.1 Describe any strategies, policies and measures in your country for the promotion of healthy and safe modes of transport, including infrastructure and safety measures for safe walking and cycling, policies to support cycling and walking, in particular in urban areas and links with efficient and accessible public transport [multiple choice]

- Walking
- Cycling
- Public transport

Priority Goal 5: to integrate transport, health and environmental objectives into urban and spatial planning policies

[NEW QUESTION] Are there policies or legal measures that require urban and transport planning processes to consider demographic change? [yes/no]

Section C: Implementation of THE PEP

Indicator 6.4. Add “both” as an option under the question “If YES, how?”. Use the same example as for the indicator 6.5
