

## Reporting on progress made since 2009 on the attainment of the Amsterdam Goals



Following the Third High-level Meeting on Transport, Health and Environment in January 2009, the Steering Committee and its Bureau underlined the importance of monitoring the implementation of THE PEP programme and of progress made by Member States toward the attainment of the four Amsterdam Goals. These reports will facilitate the assessment of progress made and provide valuable information about the achievements and obstacles encountered by Member States and other stakeholders in attaining the Amsterdam Goals and in implementing THE PEP.

The answers below were submitted by the listed THE PEP focal point(s) to THE PEP secretariat in fall 2011 and are provided here in an unedited version.

### Section A: Information about the preparation of the replies to the questionnaire

Country	<input type="text" value="Azerbaijan"/>	Date	<input type="text" value="14 October 2011"/>
Name of officer (national focal point) responsible for submitting the report	<input type="text" value="Rustam Talishinskiy"/>		
Institution	<input type="text" value="Health Ministry, Traumatology and Orthopaedics Institute"/>		
Names of the officers (national focal points) from the other two sectors that participated in the preparation of the report	<input type="text" value="no selected"/>		
Institutions	<input type="text"/>		

Please provide brief information on the process through which this report has been prepared, including information on which types of authorities were consulted or contributed to its preparation.

- Ministry in charge of health
- Ministry in charge of environment
- Ministry in charge of transport
- Regional authorities
- Local authorities
- Academia
- Private business
- Non-governmental organizations
- Others, please specify:

Please report any particular circumstances that have a major impact on the context for the implementation of THE PEP in your country. For example, whether the decision-making structure is federal and/or decentralized and whether financial constraints are a significant obstacle to implementation.

## Section B: Implementation of the Amsterdam Goals

### Priority Goal 1: to contribute to sustainable economic development and stimulate job creation through investment in environment- and health-friendly transport

indicator 1.1 Please describe any policies or programmes in your country that support investment in the following:

Development of transport infrastructure that promotes safety, environment and health and has a job creation potential, including rail and light rail. *Please specify:*

Ministry of Transport prepared "National Strategy on Road Safety", which have been send to Cabinet of Ministries for approval.

Clean and efficient intermodal connections. *Please specify:*

Not yet.

Safety measures in road transport. *Please specify:*

Many programmes prepared by Road Police Dept. and financialy supported Government.

Infrastructure for active and environmentally friendly transport. *Please specify:*

Included in "National Strategy on Road Safety".

Eco-Tourism. *Please specify:*

### Priority Goal 2: to manage sustainable mobility and promote a more efficient transport system

indicator 2.1 Describe the 3 main policies or programmes in your country that support mobility management and modal shift away from the private car toward more environmentally-friendly modes (public transport, walking and cycling, etc.) and indicate the level (i.e. national, sub-national, local/municipal) and target groups

Level	Description
<input type="text" value="national"/>	Ministry of Transport prepared "National Strategy on Road Safety", which have been send to Cabinet of Ministries for approval. Development of more environment-friendly modes will provide in thjis document.
Target group	
<input type="text" value="public sector"/>	

Level	Description
<input type="text"/>	
Target group	
<input type="text"/>	

Level	Description
<input type="text" value=""/>	<input type="text"/>
Target group <input type="text" value=""/>	

indicator 2.2 **Does the government raise awareness of mobility choices?**

indicator 2.3 **Does the government promote the use of information technology to increase the efficiency of the transport system?**

indicator 2.4 **Are there mechanisms in place in your country to improve the coordination between land use and transport planning?**

indicator 2.5 **Does your country take any measures to promote high-quality integrated public transport and reducing the need for, and the volume of, car traffic?**

Please, specify:

### Priority Goal 3: to reduce emissions of transport-related greenhouse gases, air pollutants and noise

indicator 3.1 **Describe any strategies, policies or measures to support a shift in the vehicle fleet towards zero- or low-emission vehicles and fuels based on renewable energy, clean transport modes and fostering electric mobility as well as eco-driving.**

There are special programme to check level of gases emissions. High-emission vehicles had been punished by paying of special penalty charge.

indicator 3.2 **Does your Government take any measures to support a reduction in noise emissions from transport activities?**

Specify

### Priority Goal 4: to promote policies and actions conducive to healthy and safe modes of transport

indicator 4.1 **Describe any strategies, policies and measures in your country for the promotion of healthy and safe modes of transport, including infrastructure and safety measures for safe walking and cycling, policies to support cycling and walking, in particular in urban areas and links with efficient and accessible public transport**

"National Strategy on Road Safety", which have been send to Cabinet of Ministries for approval, included few issues on safety measures for safe walking and cycling.

indicator 4.2 **Do transport policies and actions in your country focus on vulnerable groups such as children and persons with reduced mobility?**

Specify:

Unfortunately, not yet

## Section C: Implementation of THE PEP

### 5. THE PEP Implementation mechanisms (please see the Amsterdam Declaration para 6-8)

#### NATIONAL TRANSPORT, HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT ACTION PLANS (NTHEAPs)

**More information:**

[Draft manual on developing NTHEAPs](#)

indicator 5.1 Does your country have a NTHEAP or similar tool? No ▼

Was THE PEP helpful in the development of your country's NTHEAP? ▼

If available, please provide a web link to your country's NTHEAP:

http://

indicator 5.2 What is the current status of your country's NTHEAP? In preparation ▼

indicator 5.3 What is/will be the scope and format of your country's NTHEAP?

- national  
 sub-national  
 stand-alone document  
 part of a national environment and health action plan (NEHAP)  
 environment and health components in a national transport action plan

#### RELAY RACE (Staffete)

**More information:**

[Workshop in Kyiv, 2011](#)  
[Workshop in Batumi, 2010](#)  
[Workshop in Skopje, 2010](#)  
[Workshop in Pruhonice, 2009](#)

indicator 5.4 Has your country contributed to THE PEP relay race workshops? Yes ▼

If YES, how?

- technical contribution (in kind)  
 financial contribution

#### THE PEP PARTNERSHIP

**More information:**

[Terms of reference of THE PEP Partnership](#)

indicator 5.5 Does your country contribute to THE PEP Partnership? Yes ▼

If YES, how?

- technical expertise (in kind)  
 direct financial contribution

indicator 5.6 Are there any formal networks/platforms of professionals who are involved in THE PEP issues in your country?

Yes ▼

Please specify: Working group for THE PEP implementation

indicator 5.7 Are these networks/platforms supported by the government?

No ▼

If YES, please indicate how:

- direct funding  
 in kind  
 political

### 6. Policy, regulatory and operational frameworks that support the promotion of THE PEP

indicator 6.1 Do you have a coordinating body and/or a formal structure for implementation of THE PEP within your government?

Yes ▼

Represented sectors:

- Transport  
 Environment  
 Health  
 Finance  
 Academia
- Education  
 Urban planning  
 Agriculture  
 Others, please specify: NGO "Green world"

indicator 6.2 **Is integration of the three sectors reflected in any other national policy document(s)?**

No ▼

Please list main documents:

indicator 6.3 **Is public awareness in relation to the integration of the three sectors addressed in relevant national document(s)?**

No ▼

Please list main documents:

indicator 6.4 **Are public budgets and/or economic incentives available specifically to support integration of the three sectors?**

No ▼

Please specify:

## 7. Future of THE PEP

Indicator 7.1 **What have been the main successes of THE PEP in stimulating national action in the priority areas of THE PEP in your country? Please give concrete examples and a short explanation of your assessment.**

Main success of THE PEP was that I as THE PEP Focal Point attracted authority attention to safety measures for safe walking and cycling. My recommendations were sent to Ministry of Transport and later were included in draft document "National Strategy on Road Safety", which have been send to Cabinet of Ministries for approval.

Indicator 7.2 **What have been the main weaknesses of THE PEP in stimulating national action in the priority areas in your country? Please give concrete examples and a short explanation of your assessment.**

There are not THE PEP Focal Point In Transport and Environment yet.

Indicator 7.3 **How could THE PEP better support national efforts to integrate transport, environment and health policies and achieve sustainable transport?**

THE PEP could stimulate Government selection process of other two Focal Points.