

## Section C: Implementation of THE PEP

### 6. THE PEP Implementation mechanisms (please see the Amsterdam Declaration para 6-8 and Paris Declaration para 9)

#### NATIONAL TRANSPORT, HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT ACTION PLANS (NTHEAPs)

#### More information:

indicator 6.1 Does your country have a NTHEAP or similar tool?

[Manual on developing NTHEAPs](#)

Was THE PEP helpful in the development of your country's NTHEAP?

If available, please provide a web link to your country's NTHEAP:

indicator 6.2 What is the current status of your country's NTHEAP?

indicator 6.3 What is/will be the scope and format of your country's NTHEAP?

- national
- sub-national
- stand-alone document
- part of a national environment and health action plan (NEHAP)
- environment and health components in a national transport action plan

#### RELAY RACE (Staffete)

#### More information:

indicator 6.4 Has your country contributed to THE PEP relay race workshops?

[Workshop in Vienna, 2016](#)  
[Workshop in Petrozavodsk, 2016](#)  
[Workshop in Irkutsk, 2015](#)  
[Workshop in Kaunas, 2014](#)  
[Workshop in Almaty, 2013](#)  
[Workshop in Moscow, 2012](#)  
[Workshop in Kyiv, 2011](#)  
[Workshop in Batumi, 2010](#)  
[Workshop in Skopje, 2010](#)  
[Workshop in Pruhonice, 2009](#)

If YES, how?

- technical contribution (in kind)
- financial contribution

#### THE PEP PARTNERSHIP

#### More information:

indicator 6.5 Does your country contribute to THE PEP Partnership?

[Terms of reference of THE PEP Partnership](#)

If YES, which ones and how does your country contribute?

- Partnership on jobs in green and healthy transport
- Partnership on cycling promotion
- Partnership on eco-driving
- Partnership on health economic assessment of walking and cycling
- Partnership on signs and signals for pedestrians and cyclists
- Partnership on TRANSDANUBE and ACCESS2MOUNTAIN
- Partnership on Paris Goal 5

indicator 6.6 Are there any formal networks/platforms of professionals who are involved in THE PEP issues in your country?

Please specify:

indicator 6.7 Are these networks/platforms supported by the government?

If YES, please indicate how:

- direct funding
- in kind
- political

### 7. Policy, regulatory and operational frameworks that support the promotion of THE PEP

indicator 7.1

**Do you have a coordinating body and/or a formal structure for implementation of THE PEP within your government?**

Yes ▼

Represented sectors:

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transport   | <input type="checkbox"/> Education               |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Environment | <input type="checkbox"/> Spatial/urban planning  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Health      | <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Finance                | <input type="checkbox"/> Others, please specify: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Academia               |  |

indicator 7.2 **Is integration of the three sectors reflected in any other national policy document(s)?**

Yes

Please list main documents:

Vision fédérale à long terme en matière de développement durable approuvée le 17 mai 2013 par le gouvernement fédéral.

indicator 7.3 **Is public awareness in relation to the integration of the three sectors addressed in relevant national document(s)?**

No

Please list main documents:

indicator 7.4 **Are public budgets and/or economic incentives available specifically to support integration of the three sectors?**

No

Please specify:

indicator 7.5 **Are there any national policies or legal measures that require public consultation and stakeholder involvement in decision making processes in the field of transport, health and environment?**

Yes

indicator 7.6 **Does your country have a national action plan for the promotion of cycling?**

No

## 8. Future of THE PEP

Indicator 8.1 **What have been the main successes of THE PEP in stimulating national action in the priority areas of THE PEP in your country? Please give concrete examples and a short explanation of your assessment.**

Coopération pratique et pragmatique entre les trois secteurs administratifs concernés notamment échange d'information, contact régulier etc.

Indicator 8.2 **What have been the main weaknesses of THE PEP in stimulating national action in the priority areas in your country? Please give concrete examples and a short explanation of your assessment.**

Le champ d'application couvert par le PEP peut parfois paraître trop vaste, ce qui peut induire une certaine crainte de l'administration de s'y engager alors qu'en fait une approche pragmatique permet de se lancer sur par exemple un Priority Goal ou un outil tel que la course de relais ou les partenariats d'où l'importance de bien communiquer sur les outils que proposent le PEP.

Indicator 8.3 **How could THE PEP better support national efforts to integrate transport, environment and health policies and achieve sustainable transport?**

Renforcer la diffusion de l'information notamment sur les réussites et outils concrets et pratiques que le PEP met à disposition des acteurs des trois secteurs, nous pensons notamment au public-cible des autorités locales et à la société civile et ONG.

Please complete this questionnaire together with the other THE PEP focal points in your country and return it to THE PEP secretariat [before 17 October 2016 by e-mail to virginia.fuse@unece.org](mailto:virginia.fuse@unece.org)

Thank you!